

Summary

occurred sometime between [REDACTED], when the alleged victim was between [REDACTED] years of age.” [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

In a [REDACTED] memorandum sent by [REDACTED] to [REDACTED], [REDACTED] advised that the victim’s account “seemed to have a semblance of credibility.” However, [REDACTED] also advised that [REDACTED] denied the abuse had ever occurred and that the “Clergy Office felt very strongly that [REDACTED] was believable in his denial of the allegation.”

In [REDACTED], Diocesan Assistance Coordinator, contacted several priests, a secretary, and at least one teacher who were assigned to [REDACTED] during the same time that [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were there. These individuals wrote letters advising that they did not
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

On [REDACTED] documented a meeting with the victim. Among other things, the victim provided a drawing of her recollection of the [REDACTED].
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

On [REDACTED] sent a memorandum to [REDACTED] providing him with details of [REDACTED]. Among other things, [REDACTED] advised that
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

On [REDACTED], a letter was sent to [REDACTED] advising that as of [REDACTED], the administrative leave placed upon him had been lifted and that the findings of the investigation were “proven to be unsupported and lacking a semblance of truth.” The letter then restored all of his priestly faculties. No restrictions were included in the letter.

On [REDACTED], the Diocese sent a letter to the victim advising that the Diocesan Review Board was unable to reach the conclusion that [REDACTED] was involved in any activity that she had alleged and that he would continue in his ministry.

On [REDACTED], the victim received a letter from the Diocese that included a check in the amount of \$3,965 to pay for her continued therapy from January 2004 through July 2004.

